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## Section A [ 95 marks ]

1. Draw a diagram clearly depicting the various phases found in a typical compiler. Indicate which phases belong to the "front end" and which to the "back end" of the compiler. [ 9 marks ]

This is essentially bookwork. The diagram in the text was as follows:

2. (a) What is meant by the term "self-compiling compiler"? [ 2 marks ]

A self-compiling compiler is one written in the source language that it is intended to compile. When it compiles this source code it should, of course, reproduce itself.
(b) Describe (with the aid of T-diagrams) how you would perform a "half bootstrap" of a compiler for language X , given that you have access to the source and object versions of a compiler for X that can
be executed on machine OLD and wish to produce a self-compiling compiler for language X that can be executed on machine NEW. [ 10 marks ]

This is essentially book work. The solution I was looking for is:
(a) Rewrite the back end of the $X$ compiler to produce NEW code and compile this to give a cross-compiler

(b) Compile the source code of the modified compiler with the cross-compiler to produce the NEW compiler

3. Consider the following grammar expressed in EBNF for describing the progress of a typical university course:

```
Course = Introduction Section { Section } Conclusion 
Introduction = "lecture" [ "handout" ] .
Section = { "lecture" | "prac" "test" | "tut" | "handout" } "test" .
Conclusion = [ "Panic" ] "Examination" .
```

(a) Develop a recursive descent parser for the grammar (matching the EBNF as given above). Assume that you have suitable Abort, Accept and GetSym routines (which you need not develop), and that GetSym decodes Sym as one of the tokens below. Your system should detect errors, of course, but need not incorporate "error recovery". [ 12 marks ]
\{ EOFSym, lectSym, hanSome, pracSym, testSym, tutSym, PANICSYM, examSym, unknownSym \}
A satisfactory solution would look something like

```
void Course (void) {
// Course = Introduction Section { Section } Conclusion .
    Introduction();
    Section();
    while (Sym == lectureSym || Sym == pracSym ||
            Sym == tutSym || Sym == hanSome) Section();
    Conclusion();
}
void Introduction (void) {
// Introduction = "lecture" [ "handout" ] .
    Accept(lectSym, "lecture expected");
    if (Sym == HanSome) GetSym();
}
```

```
void Section (void) {
// Section = { "lecture" | "prac" "test" | "tut" | "handout" } "test" .
    while (Sym == lectureSym || Sym == pracSym ||
                Sym == tutSym || Sym == hanSome) {
        switch (Sym) {
            case lectSym : GetSym(); break;
            case pracSym : GetSym(); Accept(testSym, "test expected"); break;
            case tutSym : GetSym(); break;
            case hanSome : GetSym(); break;
        }
    }
    Accept(testSym, "final test expected")
}
void Conclusion (void) {
// Conclusion = [ "Panic" ] "Examination" .
    if (Sym = PANICSYM) GetSym();
    Accept(examSym, "Exam Expected");
}
```

(b) What do you understand by the statement "two grammars are equivalent"? [ 2 marks ]

Equivalent grammars produce the same set of sentences, using different sets of productions.
(c) Rewrite these productions so as to produce an equivalent grammar in which no use is made of the EBNF meta-brackets $\{\ldots\}$ or [ ... ]. [ 5 marks ]

```
Course = Introduction Section MoreSections Conclusion .
MoreSections = Section MoreSections | \varepsilon.
Introduction = "lecture" OptionalHandout .
OptionalHandout = "handout" | \varepsilon
Section = Components "test"
Components = Component Components | \varepsilon
Component = "lecture" | "prac" "test" | "tut" | "handout".
Conclusion = OptionalPanic "Examination"
OptionalPanic = "Panic" | \varepsilon.
```

(d) Analyse the equivalent grammar derived in (c) to determine whether it obeys the LL(1) constraints. [ 8 marks ]

The grammar is non-LL(1). OptionalHandout is nullable, and

```
FIRST(OptionalHandout) = { "handout" }
FOLLOW(OptionalHandout) = { "handout", "lecture", "prac", "tut", "test" }
```

In other respects it is properly LL(1), but candidates should have been able to justify that in some way.
(e) If you found that the grammar did not obey the LL(1) constraints, does that mean that the parser produced in (a) would fail for some valid inputs? If so, give an example of input that could not be parsed; otherwise justify your claim that it would always succeed. [ 4 marks ]

The parser would work. The situation is completely analogous to the "dangling else" problem - if a handout follows the opening lecture it is bound to Introduction, rather than forming part of a subsequent Section.
4. The CS301 language for which a compiler was developed in this course allows for various statements, including a "while" loop. Relevant parts of the attributed grammar are shown below.

```
StatementSequence
= Statement { WEAK ";" Statement } .
Statement
= SYNC [ Assignment
    | IfStatement | WhileStatement
    | ReadStatement | WriteStatement
    | ReturnStatement | CaseStatement
    ]
```

```
WhileStatement
"WHILE"
    "END" .
```

$=\quad$ (. CGEN_labels startloop, testlabel, dumylabel; .)
Condition "DO" (. CGen->jumponfalse(testlabel, CGen->undefined); .)
StatementSequence (. CGen->jump(durmylabel, startloop);
(. CGen->storelabel(startloop); .)
CGen->backpatch(test label) ; .)

An enthusiastic language extender has suggested that CS301 would be greatly improved by the addition of a post-test loop, and has come up with two possibilities:

```
PostTestStatement = "DO" StatementSequence "WHILE" Condition .
```

or

```
PostTestStatement = "DO" StatementSequence "UNTIL" Condition
```

(a) Advise her, with reasons, as to whether or not either or both of these suggestions would be acceptable, and which (if either) would be preferable. [ 5 marks ]

```
PostTestStatement = "DO" StatementSequence "WHILE" Condition
```

This won't work. A WhileStatement starts with WHILE, so one would not be able to distinguish a WhileStatement in the statementSequence from the terminating WHILE of the PostTestStatement.

```
PostTestStatement = "DO" StatementSequence "UNTIL" Condition .
```

This of course works.
(b) For the form of your choice, show how the grammar above would be extended to recognise the statement form and generate correct code. [ 5 marks ]

```
Statement
= SYNC [ Assignment | PostTestStatement
    | IfStatement | WhileStatement
    | ReadStatement | WriteStatement
    ReturnStatement | CaseStatement
        ] .
PostTestStatement
= (. CGEN_labels startloop, dummylabel; .)
    "DO"
    Statement Sequence
    "UNTIL" Condition
(. CGen->storelabel(startloop); .)
(. CGen-> jumponfalse(dummylabel, startloop); .).
```

5. As you should recall, CS301 is a "strictly typed" language, and expressions of the form

NOT 6
or

$$
\text { TRUE > } 56
$$

or

$$
3+4 \text { AND } 5
$$

are unacceptable. Most strictly typed languages allow for programmers to circumvent (bypass) these restrictions, typically by allowing so-called "type casting", as exemplified by

```
NOT BOOL(6)
```

or

$$
\text { INT }(\text { TRUE })>56
$$

or

$$
3+\operatorname{INT}(\mathrm{BOOL}(4) \text { AND BOOL (5) })
$$

(a) In the free information for this paper appears an attributed grammar for generating code to evaluate expressions which does not incorporate such type casting. Show how the grammar could be altered to do so. (Only write out those parts that would have to change.) [ 6 marks ]

This is trivial if you understand the system! We need a simple modification to Factor

```
Factor<TABLE_types &f>
= (. int value;
    TABLE_entries entry; .)
    Designator<classset(TABLE_consts, TABLE_vars), entry>
                            (. f = entry.type;
                switch (entry.idclass)
                { case TABLE_vars :
                                    CGen->dereference(); break;
                                    case TABLE_consts :
                                    CGen->stackconstant(entry.c.value); break;
                                    }.)
    | Number<value> (. CGen->stackconstant(value); f = TABLE_ints; .)
    | "TRUE" (. CGen->stackconstant(1); f = TABLE_bools .)
    | "FALSE" (. CGen->stackconstant(0); f = TABLE_bools .)
    | "INT" "(" Expression<f> (. if (f != TABLE_bools) SemError(218); .)
    ")" (. f = TABLE_ints; .)
    | "BOOL" "(" Expression<f> (. if (f != \overline{TABLE_ints) SemError(218); .)}
    ")" (. f = TABLE_bools`; .)
    | "(" Expression<f> ")"
```

(b) If strict type checking can be bypassed in this way, what advantages or disadvantages do strictly typed languages possess over languages like $\mathrm{C}++$, where Boolean, integer and character types are all compatible? [ 4 marks ]

The advantage is that one gets some measure of protection against grossly stupid programming errors - one has to make a conscious effort to mix types.
6. As you should be aware, IP addresses as used in Internet communication are typically expressed in "dotted decimal" form, as exemplified by 146.231 .128 .6 . The IP address actually represents a 32 bit integer; the four numbers in the quadruple corresponding to successive 8 bit components of this integer. For humans, machine addressing is usually more memorable when expressed in "DNS" format, as exemplified by terrapin.ru.ac.za. Some systems maintain tables of matching addresses, for example

| 146.231.122.13 | cspt1.ict.ru.ac.za |
| :--- | :--- |
| 146.231.128.6 | terrapin.ru.ac.za |
| 146.231.56.10 | thistle-sp.ru.ac.za |
| 147.28.0.62 | psg.com |

When we moved our CS and IS departments to new premises recently, a decision was made to rename and uniquely renumber all the many machines in our possession. Our system administrators tried to draw up a table like the one above, which was then merged with the existing table in the IT division. Unfortunately, a few mistakes were made, which caused havoc until they were ironed out. For example, there were lines reading

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
146.231 .122 .11235 & \text { cspt1.ict.ru.ac.za } & \text { \#invalid IP address } \\
146.231 .122 .15 & \text { cspt2.ict.ru.ac.za } & \\
146.231 .122 .15 & \text { cspt3.ict.ru.ac.za } & \text { \# non-unique IP address }
\end{array}
$$

Complete the ATG file below to show how Coco/R could be used to develop a system that would enable a file in this format quickly to be checked and the errors identified. (Hint: make use of the template list handling class that proved useful in various other applications in this course, the interface to which is provided in the free information for this paper). [ 24 marks ]

This is a longish example (hence the high mark allocation). But there is nothing very difficult in it, as the solution will show. The students in this class had written many Cocol grammars that do this sort of token definition and recognition, combined with the use of a list handler template. In fact this example is simple enough that the IPList object could have been declared "globally" which would allow one to eliminate some parameter passing.

```
COMPILER Check $XCN
#include "misc.h"
#include "genlist.h"
typedef List<long> IPList;
IGNORE CASE
IGNORE CHR(1) .. CHR(31)
CHARACTERS
    digit = "0123456789"
    letter = "ABCDEFGHIJKLMNOPQRSTUVWXYZabcdefghi jkImnopqrstuvwxyz"
    eol = CHR(10).
COMMENTS FROM "#" TO eol
TOKENS
    number = digit { digit }
    name = letter { letter | digit | "." | "-" } .
PRODUCTIONS
    Check
    = Entry<L> } (. IPList L;.)
    EOF
    Entry<IPList &L>
    = IPNumber<L> name
    |PNumber<IPList &L>
    = (. long n,m; .)
    Number <n>
    "." Number<m> (. n = 256 * n + m; .)
    "." Number<m> (. n = 256 * n + m; .)
    "." Number<m> (. n = 256 * n + m;
        if (L. isMember(n)) SemError(1001);
                                else L.add(n); .)
    Number<long &n>
    = (. char str[100] .)
    number (. LexString(str, sizeof(str) - 1);
        n = atoi(str);
        if (n > 255) SemError(1000); .)
```

END Check.

## Generic List Template Class - Question 6

```
/* Simple generic linked list class. Only the interface is shown here
    George Wells -- }27\mathrm{ February 1996 */
template<class T> class List
    { public:
        List (void); // Constructor
        List (const List& Ist); // Copy constructor
        oList (void); // List destructor
        void add (T item, int position = INT_MAX); // Place new item in a List
        void remove (int position, T &item); // Remove item at position in List
        int length (void); // Return number of elements in List
        T& operator[] (int index); // Subscript a List
        int position (T item); // Return position item in a List (or -1)
        int isMember (T item); // True if item is in List
```

    \}; // class List
    
## Expression parser from CS 301 compiler - Question 5

```
Expression<TABLE_types \&e>
\(=\)
    AndExp<e>
    \{ "OR"
        AndExp<a>
    \} .
AndExp<TABLE_types \&a>
=
    RelExp<a>
    \{ "AND"
        RelExp<e>
    \}.
RelExp<TABLE_types \&r>
\(=\)
    AddExp<r>
    [ RelOp<op> AddExp<a>
    ].
AddExp<TABLE_types \&a>
=
    MultExp<a>
    \{ AddOp<op> MultExp<m>
    \}.
MultExp<TABLE_types \& \(\mathrm{m}>\)
\(=\)
    UnaryExp<m>
    \{ MulOp<op> UnaryExp<u>
    \}.
UnaryExp<TABLE_types \&u>
\(=\) Factor<u>
    | "+" UnaryExp<u>
    | " - " UnaryExp<u>
    | "NOT" UnaryExp<u>
(. if (!arithtypes.memb(u)) \{
        SemError(218); u = TABLE_none; \} .)
(. if (!arithtypes.memb(u)) \{
        SemError (218); u = TABLE_none; \}
        else CGen->negateinteger (); .)
    (. if (!booltypes.memb(u)) SemError(218);
        else CGen->negateboolean();
        u = TABLE_bools; .) .
Factor<TABLE_types \&f>
=
(. int value;
        TABLE_entries entry; .)
    Designator<classset (TABLE_consts, TABLE_vars), entry>
    (. f = entry.type
        switch (entry.idclass)
        \{ case TABLE_vars :
                            CGen->dereference(); break;
            case TABLE_consts :
                            CGen->stackconstant(entry.c.value); break;
        \} .)
```



## Section B [ 85 marks ]

Please note that there is no obligation to produce a machine readable solution for this section. Coco $/ R$ and other files are provided so that you can enhance, refine, or test your solution if you desire. If you choose to produce a machine readable solution, you should create a working directory, unpack EXAM.ZIP, modify any files that you like, and then copy all the files back to the blank diskette that will be provided.

For several years your predecessors in this course - and even yourselves - have been expected, as part of the practical course, to gain an understanding of a stack machine architecture by preparing programs written in a very limited form of assembler language in which all addressing had to be done in terms of numerical values which students were supposed to calculate for themselves - with understandable frustration setting in every time they inserted or deleted a few statements into programs as they debugged them. During this time students have begged me to give them a "real" assembler in which alphanumeric labels could be used to identify constants, variables, and the destinations of branch instructions. I have, of course, always been too busy to do this, but with 24 hours at your disposal and the expert knowledge you have amassed after studying the translators course this year, you should be able to remedy this situation - and if you succeed you may be able to make some useful pocket money selling your system to the class next year!

We start by observing that, rather than writing code as exemplified by the columns on the left, most people would prefer to write code as exemplified by the columns on the right

| ASSEM | \$D+ | ASSEM \$D CONST Max = Width INT | $10 ;$ $=6 \text {; }$ |  | \# | Read a list and write it backwards High level declarations constants <br> variables |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BEGIN |  | List[Ma | ] ], | I tem; |  |  |
| DSP | 13 | BEGIN |  |  |  | DSP 13 can be generated automatically |
| ADR | -12 |  | ADR | 1 | \# | I : $=0$; |
| LIT | 0 |  | LIT | 0 | \# |  |
| STO |  |  | STO |  | \# |  |
| ADR | -13 | READ | ADR | I tem | \# | LOOP |
| INN |  |  | INN |  | \# | Read(Item) ; |
| ADR | -13 |  | ADR | Item | \# |  |
| VAL |  |  | VAL |  | \# |  |
| BZE | 32 |  | BZE | DONE | \# | IF Item $=0$ THEN EXIT END; |
| ADR | -1 |  | ADR | List | \# |  |
| ADR | -12 |  | ADR | I | \# |  |
| VAL |  |  | VAL |  | \# |  |
| LIT | 11 |  | LIT | SIZE(List) | \# |  |
| IND |  |  | IND |  | , |  |
| ADR | -13 |  | ADR | Item | \# |  |
| VAL |  |  | VAL |  | \# |  |
| STO |  |  | STO |  | \# | List[I] := Item; |
| ADR | -12 |  | ADR | 1 | \# | I++; |
| PPP |  |  | PPP |  | \# |  |
| BRN | 7 |  | BRN | READ | \# | END; |
| PRS | 'Reversed' | DONE | PRS | 'Reversed' | \# | Write( 'Reversed') ; |
| ADR | -12 | PRINT | ADR | I | \# | WHILE I > 0 DO |
| VAL |  |  | VAL |  | \# |  |
| LIT | 0 |  | LIT | 0 | \# |  |
| GTR |  |  | GTR |  | \# |  |
| BZE | 59 |  | BZE | EXIT | \# |  |
| ADR | -12 |  | ADR | I | \# | I--; |
| MMM |  |  | MMM |  | \# |  |
| ADR | -1 |  | ADR | List | \# |  |
| ADR | -12 |  | ADR | I | \# |  |
| VAL |  |  | VAL |  | \# |  |
| LIT | 11 |  | LIT | Max + 1 | , |  |
| IND |  |  | IND |  | \# |  |
| VAL |  |  | VAL |  | \# |  |
| LIT | 6 |  | LIT | Width | \# | Write(List[1] : 6); |
| PRN |  |  | PRN |  | \# |  |
| BRN | 34 |  | BRN | PRINT | \# | END |
| HLT |  | EXIT | HLT |  |  | RETURN |
| END. |  | END. |  |  |  |  |

Since it might be dangerous to place too much reliance on what would be required of an assembler, or indeed determine exactly what is permitted in the assembler language itself from studying this one single example, here are some suggestions for deriving a complete system. (In the exam kit will be found some other example programs to assist in your development of the assembler.)
(a) You can make use of $\mathrm{Coco} / \mathrm{R}$, and in particular derive a solution by making use of the attributed grammar and support modules (symbol table handler, code generator, error handler, frame files etc) that were useful in the development of a CS301 compiler/interpreter.
(b) The assembler statements should appear between a bracketing BEGIN and END, and may optionally be preceded by declarations of constants and variables (like Max, Width, List, I and Item) using similar syntax to that found in CS301 programs.
(c) The assembler system should be able to assemble simple programs in which the addressing is all given in absolute form (as in the example on the left), as well as those with alphanumeric names and labels.
(d) Treat the mnemonics as key (reserved) words. Since AND and NOT are mnemonic opcodes, use !, \&\& and || for Boolean operators.
(e) Alphanumeric labels (like READ, PRINT, DONE and EXIT) used as the targets of branch instructions must be uniquely defined. For simplicity, these labels should not be allowed to duplicate identifiers used in the declaration of named constants or variables.
(f) It is acceptable to define labels without ever having branch instructions that referred to them, to have multiple labels defined at one point, or to have multiple branches to one point, for example

|  | BRN | START | \# Unnecessary, but legal |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| START |  |  |  |
| LOOP | LIT | 6 |  |
|  | LIT | 7 |  |
|  | PRN |  |  |
|  | BRN | START | \# equivalent to BRN LOOP |

(g) It would not be acceptable to have branch instructions refer to labels that are never defined, for example

| BEGIN |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| LOOP | LIT | 6 |
|  | LIT | 7 |
|  | PRN |  |
|  | BRN | START |$\quad$ \# Start is undefined

(h) The LIT and DSP mnemonics should be allowed to take a constant-generating expression as a parameter:

| DSP | 6 | \# Absolute form |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| LIT | Max | \# Equivalent to LIT | 10 |
| LIT | Max * $10+$ Width | \# Equivalent to LIT | 106 |
| LIT | Size(Array) | \# Equivalent to LIT | 11 |

where Size is a pseudo function that can return the storage space needed for the variable quoted as its actual argument (this would clearly be useful in applications that use arrays in particular).
(i) The $A D R$ mnemonic should be allowed to take a (possibly signed) number or a variable name as its parameter. In the case where this name refers to an array a possible extension would be to allow it to have a constant subscript indicating a further offset that could be computed at assemble time, for example:

| ADR | -1 | \# absolute addressing |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ADR | Item | \# equivalent to $A D R-13$ |
| ADR | List | \# equivalent to $A D R-1$ |
| ADR | List[0] | \# equivalent to $A D R-1$ |
| ADR List[2] | \# equivalent to $A D R-3$ |  |

(j) Not much attention need be paid to type checking - at this level programmers should be relied on to get these semantics correct for themselves.
(k) Apart from situations where they are necessary for separating other alphanumeric quantities, whitespace characters may be used at the coder's discretion to improve the appearance of source code.
(1) In the extended compiler for CS301 you may have made use of additional opcodes to the ones listed below, in particular to handle switch/case statements. For the purposes of this examination you may confine your assembler to the opcodes in the table on page 7.

## Instruction set for stack machine

Several of these operations belong to a category known as zero address instructions. Even though operands are clearly needed for operations such as addition and multiplication, the addresses of these are not specified by part of the instruction, but are implicitly derived from the value of the stack pointer SP. The two operands are assumed to reside on the top of the stack and just below the top; in our informal descriptions their values are denoted by TOS (for "top of stack") and SOS (for "second on stack"). A binary operation is performed by popping its two operands from the stack into (inaccessible) internal registers in the CPU, performing the operation, and then pushing the result back onto the stack.

| AND |  | Pop TOS and SOS, and SOS with TOS, push result to form new TOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ORR |  | Pop TOS and SOS, or SOS with TOS, push result to form new TOS |
| ADD |  | Pop TOS and SOS, add SOS to TOS, push sum to form new TOS |
| SUB |  | Pop TOS and SOS, subtract TOS from SOS, push difference to form new TOS |
| MUL |  | Pop TOS and SOS, multiply SOS by TOS, push product to form new TOS |
| DVD |  | Pop TOS and SOS, divide SOS by TOS, push quotient to form new TOS |
| REM |  | Pop TOS and SOS, divide SOS by TOS, push remainder to form new TOS |
| EQL |  | Pop TOS and SOS, push 1 to form new TOS if SOS $=$ TOS, 0 otherwise |
| NEQ |  | Pop TOS and SOS, push 1 to form new TOS if SOS $\neq$ TOS, 0 otherwise |
| GTR |  | Pop TOS and SOS, push 1 to form new TOS if SOS > TOS, 0 otherwise |
| LSS |  | Pop TOS and SOS, push 1 to form new TOS if SOS < TOS, 0 otherwise |
| LEQ |  | Pop TOS and SOS, push 1 to form new TOS if SOS $\leq$ TOS, 0 otherwise |
| GEQ |  | Pop TOS and SOS, push 1 to form new TOS if SOS $\geq$ TOS, 0 otherwise |
| NEG |  | Integer negation of TOS |
| NOT |  | Boolean negation of TOS |
| STK |  | Dump stack to output (useful for debugging) |
| PRN |  | Pop TOS and SOS, write SOS to output as an integer value in field width TOS |
| PRB |  | Pop TOS and SOS, write SOS to output as a Boolean value in field width TOS |
| PRS | A | Write the nul-terminated string that is assumed to be stacked in the literal pool from Mem[A] |
| NLN |  | Write a newline (carriage-return-line-feed) sequence |
| INN |  | Read integer value, pop TOS, store the value that was read in Mem[TOS] |
| INB |  | Read Boolean value, pop TOS, store the value that was read in Mem[TOS] |
| DSP | A | Decrement value of stack pointer SP by A |
| LIT | A | Push the integer value A onto the stack to form new TOS |
| ADR | A | Push the value $B P+A$ onto the stack to form new TOS. (This value is conceptually the address of a variable stored at an offset A within the stack frame pointed to by the base register BP.) |
| IND |  | (Range checked indexing of array) Pop TOS to yield size; pop TOS and SOS; if $0 \leq T O S$ < Size then subtract TOS from SOS, push result to form new TOS |
| INX |  | (Unchecked indexing of array) Pop TOS and SOS, subtract TOS from SOS, push result to form new TOS |
| VAL |  | (Dereferencing) Pop TOS, and push the value of Mem[TOS] to form new TOS |
| DUP |  | Push tos to form duplicate copy |
| STO |  | Pop TOS and SOS; store TOS in Mem[SOS] |
| PPP |  | Pop TOS and increment Mem[TOS] by 1 |
| MMM |  | Pop TOS and decrement Mem[TOS] by 1 |
| HLT |  | Halt |
| BRN | A | Unconditional branch to instruction A |
| bze | A | Pop TOS, and branch to instruction A if TOS is zero |
| BAN | A | Branch to instruction A if TOS is false; else pop TOS |
| BOR | A | Branch to instruction A if TOS is true; else pop tos |
| NOP |  | No operation |

The instructions in the first group are concerned with arithmetic and logical operations, those in the second group afford I/O facilities, those in the third group allow for the access of data in memory by means of manipulating addresses and the stack, and those in the last group allow for control of flow of the program itself.
(a) The "absolute" assembler is trivial - it requires very few lines of code. Just for fun here is a complete attribute grammar for it:

```
COMPILER ASSEM $XCN
/* Stack assembler level 1 grammar - this version for csC 301 exam solution 2001
    P.D. Terry, Rhodes University, 2001 */
/* This version is just a simple "absolute" assembler - stage 1 */
#include "misc.h"
#include "set.h"
#include "cgen.h"
#include "table.h"
#include "report.h"
bool debug;
extern TABLE *Table;
extern CGEN *CGen;
extern REPORT *Report; // needed for debugging pragma
/*----------------------------------------------------------------------------
IGNORE CASE
IGNORE CHR(9) .. CHR(13)
PRAGMAS
    DebugOn = "$D+" . (. Report->debugging = true; .)
    DebugOff = "$D-" . (. Report->debugging = false; .)
CHARACTERS
    cr = CHR(13)
    If = CHR(10)
    letter = "ABCDEFGHIJKLMNOPQRSTUVWXYZabcdefghi jkImnopqrstuvwxyz"
    digit = "0123456789"
    instring = ANY - "'" - cr - If - CHR(0)
```

COMMENTS FROM "\{" TO "\}"
COMMENTS FROM "\#" TO If /* ========= addition to original */
TOKENS
identifier $=$ letter $\{$ letter | digit \}.
number $=$ digit \{ digit \}
string = "'" (instring | "'r") \{ instring | "''" \} "'"
PRODUCTIONS
ASSEM = "ASSEM" Block "."
Block = "BEGIN" StatementSequence "END" .
StatementSequence $=$ \{ Statement \}
Statement $=($ OneWord $\mid$ TwoWord $\mid$ WriteString ) .
OneWord
$=$ ( "ADD" | "AND" | "DUP" | "DVD" | "EQL" | "GEQ" | "GTR" | "HLT" | "INB" |

| "IND" | "INN" | "INX" | "LEQ" | "LSS" | "MMM" | "MUL" | "NEG" | "NEQ" |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| "NLN" | "NOP" | "NOT" | "ORQ | " | " | "PSP" | "PRB" | "PRN" |
| "STO" | "SUB" | "REM" | "STK" |  |  |  |  |  |

        (. char mnemonic[4];
                                LexName(mnemonic, sizeof(mnemonic) - 1);
                                CGen->oneword(mnemonic); .) .
    TwoWord
    = ( "DSP" | "LIT" | "BRN" | "BZE" | "BAN" | "BOR" | "ADR" )
        (. char mnemonic[4];
        int value;
        LexName(mnemonic, sizeof(mnemonic) - 1); .)
        SignedNumber<value> (. CGen->twoword(mnemonic, value); .).
    WriteString
    \(=\) (. char str[600];
        CGEN_labels startstring; .)
        "PRS" String<str>
    (. CGen->stackstring(str, startstring);
                                CGen->writestring(startstring); .)
    SignedNumber<int \&value>
    
] Number<value>
(. int sign = 1 .)
(. sign = - 1 ; .)
(. value $=$ sign * value; .) .
/* the next productions are straight out of the original compiler */
String<char *str>
= string
(. char local[100];
LexString(local, sizeof(local) - 1);
int i = 0;
while (local[i]) /* strip quotes */
\{ local[i] = local[i+1]; i++; \}
local $[\mathrm{i}-2]=' \backslash 0$ ';
i $=0$;
while (local[i]) /* find internal quotes */
\{ if (local[i] == '\'') /* single quote */
\{ int $\mathrm{j}=\mathrm{i}$;
while (local[j])
\{ local[j] = local[j+1]; j++; \}
\}
i++;
\}
strcpy(str, local); .).
Number <int \&num>
$=$ number (. char str[100];
int $i=0,1$, digit, overflow $=0$;
num $=0$;
LexString(str, sizeof(str) - 1);
| = strlen(str);
while (i <= I \&\& isdigit(str[i]))
\{ digit = str[i] - 'O'; i++;
if (num <= (maxint - digit) / 10)
num $=10$ * num + digit;
else overflow = 1;
\}
if (overflow) SemError(200); .).
END ASSEM.
(b) The definition of constants and variables and the autogeneration of DSP can be lifted verbatim out of the CS301 compiler.
(c) The LIT/DSP opcodes and their constant expression address fields can simply use the constant expression parser productions and actions straight out of the CS301 compiler. The only extra is the ability to add "size(array)" which is requires a simple symbol table lookup and about three lines of code similar to other look ups students should be familiar with from the CS301 compiler.
(d) The ADR opcode and its variable name parameter similarly requires a symbol table look up. It makes sense to hack the Designator production and actions from the original CS301 compiler to do this.
(e) The only real challenge lies in the labels for branch instructions. The obvious way to do this is to have a new "class" of identifier in the symbol table, and to set the info in the symbol table when the labels are declared. But if forward references are found the entries will have to be made ahead of time and a way found to fix up the forward references when the label is finally declared. This is actually closely analogous with similar fixups students should have learned to do in a practical where they had to deal with multiple forward references as "break" points in a switch statement.
(f) One non-obvious point is that it makes sense not to define a labelled "statement" in th obvious way

```
Statement = [ Label ] ( OneWord | Branch | DSPorLIT | LValue | WriteString ).
```

but instead to treat a Label as a sort of "empty" statement.

```
Statement = ( Label | OneWord | Branch | DSPorLIT | LValue | WriteString ).
```

This allows one conceptually to attach multiple labels to a single code-generating statement. Other attempts to do this lead too easily to non-LL(1) grammars.

A complete solution turns out to rely on only 150 lines or so of new code at the most, and a well prepared student should have been able to extract the essential point in preparing an answer that could be written down under exam conditions very quickly.

The basic grammar looks like this:

```
/* this first part is all straight out of the extant compiler */
COMPILER ASSEM
/* Stack assembler level 1 grammar - this version for csC 301 exam solution 2001
    P.D. Terry, Rhodes University, 2001 */
IGNORE CASE
IGNORE CHR(9) .. CHR(13)
CHARACTERS
    cr = CHR(13)
    If=CHR(10)
    letter = "ABCDEFGHIJKLMNOPQRSTUVWXYZabcdefghijkImnopqrstuvwxyz"
    digit = "0123456789"
    instring = ANY - "'" - cr - If - CHR(0)
COMMENTS FROM "{" TO "}"
COMMENTS FROM "#" TO If
TOKENS
    identifier = letter { letter | digit } .
    number = digit { digit }
    string = "'" (instring | "''") { instring | "'r" } "'".
PRODUCTIONS
    ASSEM = "ASSEM" Block "."
    Block = { ConstDeclarations | VarDeclarations }
                        "BEGIN" StatementSequence "END"
    ConstDeclarations = "CONST" OneConst { OneConst } .
    OneConst = Ident "=" CExpression ";"
    VarDeclarations = ( "INT" | "BOOL" ) OneVar { "," OneVar } ";"
    OneVar = Ident [ UpperBound ]
    UpperBound = "[" CExpression "]".
    CExpression = CAndExp { "||" CAndExp }
    CAndExp = CReIExp { "&&" CReIExp }
    CReIExp = CAddExp [ RelOp CAddExp ]
    CAddExp = CMultExp { AddOp CMultExp }
    CMultExp = CUnaryExp { MulOp CUnaryExp }
    CUnaryExp = CFactor | "+" CUnaryExp | " - " CUnaryExp | "!" CUnaryExp
    CFactor = Ident | Number | "TRUE" | "FALSE" | "(" CExpression ")"
    AddOp = "+" | " - "
    MulOp = "*" | "%" j "/"
    RelOp = "=" | "<>" | "<" | "<=" | ">" | ">="
    Ident = identifier
    String = string
    Number = number .
/* this next part is effectively new */
    StatementSequence = { Statement }
    Statement = ( Label | OneWord | Branch | DSPorLIT | LValue | WriteString ).
    Label = Ident.
    OneWord = "ADD" | "AND" | "DUP" | "DVD" | "EQL" | "GEQ" | "GTR"
        "HLT" 
        "ORR" | "PPP" | "PRB" | "PRN" | "REM" | "STK" | "STO"
        "SUB" | "VAL".
    DSPorLIT = ( "DSP" | "LIT" ) CExpression .
    WriteString = "PRS" String
    Branch = ( "BRN" | "BZE" | "BAN" | "BOR" ) ( Number | Target ).
    Target = Ident
    LValue = "ADR" ( SignedNumber | Designator ).
    SignedNumber = [ "+" | "-" ] Number.
    Designator = Ident [ "[" CExpression "]" ].
```

END ASSEM.

In more detail - here are suggested additions to the code generator. This is slight overkill, because these routines could be replaced with simple calls to CGen->emit in the few places in the attributed grammar where they appear, and the error checking is really overcautious:

```
void CGEN::twoword(char *mnemonic, int adr)
{ STKMC_opcodes opcode = Machine->opcode(mnemonic);
    if (op
    else Report->error(231);
}
void CGEN::oneword(char *mnemonic)
{ STKMC_opcodes opcode = Machine->opcode(mnemonic);
    if (opcode > STKMC_prs && opcode != STKMC_nul) emit(int(opcode));
    else Report->error(232);
}
```

Here are the additions needed to the table handler - we need an option in the union for recording labels, which are characterised by their object code addresses and whether they have been declared or not. The address field here is used in a clever way later on to help set up a back-patch list for the situations where a label is referenced before it has been declared/defined.

```
enum TABLE_idclasses { TABLE_consts, TABLE_vars, TABLE_progs, TABLE_labels };
struct TABLE_entries {
    TABLE_alfa name; // identifier
    TABLE_idclasses idclass; // class
    TABLE_types type;
    int self;
    union {
        struct {
            int value;
        } c; // constants
        struct {
                int size, offset;
                bool scalar
            v; // variables
        struct {
                CGEN_labels address;
                bool defined;
        } I; // labels
    };
};
```

Here are the additions needed to the compiler frame file - a few more error messages need to be defined:

```
char *cs301Error::GetUserErrorMsg(int n)
{ switch (n) {
        case 229: return "Undefined labels";
        case 230: return "Redefined labels";
        case 231: return "Opcode requires addressfield";
        case 232: return "Opcode may not have addressfield";
        default: return "Compiler error";
    }
}
```

And here is the complete attribute grammar:

```
COMPILER ASSEM $CNX
/* Stack assembler level 1 grammar - this version for csC 301 exam solution 2001
    P.D. Terry, Rhodes University, 2001 */
/* The first part of this is effectively identical to the same grammar used
    in the CS301 compiler. A few lines have been deleted to tidy things up,
    but they could have remained in place with no difficulty */
```

\#include "misc.h"
\#include "set.h"
\#include "cgen.h"
\#include "table.h"
\#include "report.h"
typedef Set<7> classset;
typedef Set<4> typeset;
bool debug;
extern TABLE *Table;

```
extern CGEN *CGen;
extern REPORT *Report; // needed for debugging pragma
typeset arithtypes, booltypes;
|*------------------------------------------------------------------------ */
IGNORE CASE
IGNORE CHR(9) .. CHR(13)
PRAGMAS (. Report->debugging= true;.)
    DebugOff = "$D-" . (. Report->debugging = false; .)
CHARACTERS
    cr = CHR(13)
    If = CHR(10)
    letter = "ABCDEFGHIJKLMNOPQRSTUVWXYZabcdefghijkImnopqrstuvwxyz"
    digit = "0123456789"
    instring = ANY - "'" - cr - If - CHR(0) .
COMMENTS FROM "{" TO "}"
COMMENTS FROM "#" TO If /* ========== addition to original */
TOKENS
    identifier = letter { letter | digit } .
    number = digit { digit }
    string= "'"(instring | "'r") { instring | "''" } "'".
PRODUCTIONS
    Block
    = (. int framesize = 0; .)
        SYNC { ( ConstDeclarations | VarDeclarations<framesize> )
        SYNC } (. /* reserve space for variables */
        "BEGIN" StatementSequence (. /* ====== CGen->leaveprogram(); can be deleted */
        "END"
    ConstDeclarations
    = "CONST" OneConst { OneConst } .
    OneConst
    = (. TABLE_entries entry; .)
        Ident<entry.name> (. entry.idclass = TABLE_consts; .)
        WEAK "="
        CExpression<entry.type, entry.c.value>
        ";" (. Table->enter(entry); .) .
    VarDeclarations<int &framesize>
    = (. TABLE_types t; .)
        ( "INT" (. t = TÄBLE_ints; .)
        | "BOOL" (. t = TABLE_bools; .)
        ) OneVar<framesize, t> { WEAK "," OneVar<framesize, t> } ";".
    OneVar<int &framesize, TABLE_types t>
    = (. TABLE_entries entry; .)
                            (. entry.idclass = TABLE_vars;
                                entry.v.size = 1; entry.v.scalar = true;
                                entry.type = t;
                                entry.v.offset = framesize + 1; .)
        Ident<entry.name>
        [ UpperBound<entry.v.size> (. entry.v.scalar = false; .)
                            (. Table->enter(entry);
                            framesize += entry.v.size; .) .
    UpperBound<int &size>
    = (. TABLE_types type; .)
        "[" CExpression<type, size> (. if (!arithtypes.memb(type)) SemError(226);
        "]"
    CExpression<TABLE_types &e, int &value>
    = (. TABLE_types a;
                                int aval; .)
    CAndExp<e, value>
    { "||" CAndExp<a, aval> (. if (!(booltypes.memb(e) && bool types.memb(a)))
                            { SemError(218); e = TABLE_none; }
                                else e = TABLE_bools;
                                value = value \| aval; .)
        }
```

CAndExp<TABLE_types \&a, int \&value>

```
=
    (. TABLE_types e;
    int eval; .)
    CReIExp<a, value>
    { "&&" CRelExp<e, eval>
(. if (!(bool types.memb(a) && bool types.memb(e)))
    { SemError(218); a = TABLE_none; }
    else a = TABLE bools;
    value = value && eval; .)
    } .
CRelExp<TABLE_types &r, int &value>
= _
    (. TABLE_types a;
    int aval;
    CGEN_operators op; .)
    CAddExp<r, value>
    [ RelOp<op>
        CAddExp<a, aval> (. if (r == TABLE_bools || a == TABLE_bools) SemError(218);
    r = TABLE bools;
    switch (op) {
    case CGEN_opeql :
                                value = value == aval; break;
    case CGEN_opneq :
                            value = value != aval; break;
    case CGEN_oplss :
        value = value < aval; break;
        case CGEN_opleq :
        value = value <= aval; break;
        case CGEN_opgtr :
                                value = value > aval; break;
    case CGEN_opgeq :
                            value = value >= aval; break;
    default : break
    } .)
    ]
CAddExp<TABLE_types &a, int &value>
= (. TABLE_types m
    int mval;
    CGEN_operators op; .)
    CMultExp<a, value>
    { AddOp<op>
        CMultExp<m, mval> (. if (!(arithtypes.memb(a) && arithtypes.memb(m)))
                            { SemError(218); a = TABLE_none; }
    else switch (op) {
    case CGEN_opadd :
                            value += mval; break;
    case CGEN_opsub':
                                value -= mval; break;
    default : break;
        } .)
    } .
```

CMultExp<TABLE_types \&m, int \&value>

```
=
                                    (. TABLE_types u
                                    int uval
                                    CGEN_operators op; .)
    CUnaryExp<m, value>
    { MulOp<op>
        CUnaryExp<u, uval> (. if (!(ar ithtypes.memb(m) && arithtypes.memb(u)))
    { SemError(218); m = TABLE_none; }
        else switch (op) {
    case CGEN opmul :
        value *= uval; break;
    case CGEN_opmod :
                            if (uval != 0) value %= uval; else SemError(224);
                                break;
    case CGEN opdvd :
                                if (uval != 0) value /= uval; else SemError(224);
                                break;
    default : break;
    } .)
    } .
```

```
CUnaryExp<TABLE_types &u, int &value>
= CFactor<u, value>
    | "+" CUnaryExp<u, value> (. if (!arithtypes.memb(u)) {
                                    SemError(218); u = TABLE_none; } .)
    | " -" CUnaryExp<u, value> (. if (!arithtypes.memb(u)) {
                                    SemError(218); u = TABLE_none; }
                                    value = - value; .)
    | "!" CUnaryExp<u, value> (. if (!booltypes.memb(u)) SemError(218);
                                value = ! value;
                                u = TABLE_bools; .) .
```

CFactor<TABLE_types \&f, int \&value>
$=$
(. TABLE_entries entry;
TABLE_al fa name;
bool found;
value = 1; // play safe .)
Ident<name> (. Table->search(name, entry, found);
if (! found)
\{ SemError(202); /* force declaration */
strcpy(entry.name, name);
entry.type = TABLE_none;
entry.idclass $=T A \bar{B} L E \_$consts;
entry.c.value $=1$;
Table->enter (entry);
\}
if (entry.idclass == TABLE_consts) value = entry.c.value;
else SemError(206);
f = entry.type; .)
| Number<value>
(. f = TABLE ints; .)
| "TRUE"
(. f = TABLE_bools; value $=1$; .)
| "FALSE"
(. $\mathrm{f}=$ TABLE_bools; value $=0$; .)
/* ========== this small addition needed == */
(. Table->search(name, entry, found);
if (!found)
\{ SemError(202); /* force declaration */
strcpy(entry.name, name);
entry.type $=$ TABLE_none;
entry.idclass = TABLE_vars;
entry.v.scalar = true;
entry.v.size = 1;
entry.v.offset $=0$;
\}
if (entry.idclass == TABLE_vars) value = entry.v.size;
else SemError (206) ;
$\mathrm{f}=\mathrm{TABLE}$ ints; .)
") "
| "(" CExpression<f, value> ")"
AddOp<CGEN_operators \&op>

MuIOp<CGEN_operators \&op>

| "\%" (. op = CGEN_opmod; .)
| "/" (. op = CGEN_opdvd; .)
) .
RelOp<CGEN_operators \&op>
$=\quad$ ( $\mathrm{op}=$ CGEN_opnop; .)
( "=" (. op = CGEN_opeql ; .
| "<>" (. op = CGEN_opneq; .)
| "<" (. op = CGEN_oplss; .)
| "<=" (. op = CGEN_opleq; .)
| ">" (. op = CGEN_opgtr; .)
| ">=" (. op = CGEN_opgeq; .)
).
Ident<char *name>
$=$ identifier (. LexName(name, TABLE_alfalength); .).

```
String<char *str>
= string
(. char local[100];
    LexString(local, sizeof(local) - 1);
    int i = 0;
    while (local[i]) /* strip quotes */
    { local[i] = local[i+1]; i++; }
    local[i-2] = '\0';
    i = 0;
    while (local[i]) /* find internal quotes */
    { if (local[i] == '\'') /* single quote */
        { int j = i;
        while (local[j])
        { local[j] = local[j+1]; j++; }
        }
        i++;
}
    strcpy(str, local); .) .
Number <int &num>
= number
(. char str[100]
    int i = 0, l, digit, overflow = 0;
    num = 0;
    LexString(str, sizeof(str) - 1);
    | = strlen(str);
    while (i <= I && isdigit(str[i]))
    { digit = str[i] - 'O'; i++;
        if (num <= (maxint - digit) / 10)
        num = 10 * num + digit;
    else overflow = 1
}
if (overflow) SemError(200); .).
/* The productions below are specific to this application */
    ASSEM
    AS
    =
    (. arithtypes = typeset(TABLE_none, TABLE_ints);
    booltypes = typeset(TABLE_none, TABLE_bools); .)
"ASSEM" Block "."
(. Table->checklabels(stdout); .)
StatementSequence
\(=\) \{ Statement \}
Statement
=
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{6}{*}{SYNC (} & OneWord \\
\hline & Branch \\
\hline & DSPorLIT \\
\hline & LValue \\
\hline & WriteString \\
\hline & Label \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```


## Label

```
\(=\)
(. TABLE al fa name; TABLE_entries entry; bool found; .)
Ident <name>
(. Table->search(name, entry, found)
```

```
if (!found) {
```

if (!found) {
// new label to be defined
// new label to be defined
strcpy(entry.name, name);
strcpy(entry.name, name);
entry.type = TABLE_none;
entry.type = TABLE_none;
entry.idclass = TABLE_labels;
entry.idclass = TABLE_labels;
CGen->storelabel(entry.l.address);
CGen->storelabel(entry.l.address);
entry.l.defined = true;
entry.l.defined = true;
Table->enter(entry);
Table->enter(entry);
}
}
else { // only now defined but had forward reference
else { // only now defined but had forward reference
if (entry.idclass != TABLE labels) SemError(206);
if (entry.idclass != TABLE labels) SemError(206);
else { // must nōt already been defined
else { // must nōt already been defined
if (entry.l.defined) SemError(230);
if (entry.l.defined) SemError(230);
else { // fix the forward references
else { // fix the forward references
CGen->backpatchl ist(entry.l.address);
CGen->backpatchl ist(entry.l.address);
// and update the symbol table
// and update the symbol table
CGen->storelabel(entry.I.address);
CGen->storelabel(entry.I.address);
entry.l.defined = true;
entry.l.defined = true;
Table->update(entry);
Table->update(entry);
}
}
}
}
}
}
.) .

```
```

OneWord

```

```

(. char mnemonic[4];
LexName(mnemonic, sizeof(mnemonic) - 1);
CGen->oneword(mnemonic); .)
DSPorLIT
=
( "DSP" | "LIT" ) (. LexName(mnemonic, sizeof(mnemonic) - 1); .)
CExpression<type, value>
WriteString
=
"PRS" String<str>
(. char str[600]
CGEN_labels startstring; .)
(. CGen->stackstring(str, startstring);
CGen->writestring(startstring); .).
Branch
= ( "BRN" | "BZE" | "BAN" | "BOR" )
(. int destination;
char mnemonic[4];
LexName(mnemonic, sizeof(mnemonic) - 1); .)
( Number<destination>
| Target<destination>
)
(. CGen->twoword(mnemonic, destination); .) .
Target<int \&destination>
=
Ident <name>
(. TABLE_al fa name;
TABLE_entries entry;
bool found; .)
(. Table->search(name, entry, found);
if (found) {
if (entry.idclass == TABLE_labels) {
destination = entry.l.adवैress;
if (!entry.l.defined) {
// another forward reference
CGen->storelabel(entry.l.address);
Table->update(entry);
}
}
else SemError(206);
}
else { // first forward reference
strcpy(entry.name, name);
entry.type = TABLE_none;
entry.idclass = TABLE_labels;
CGen->storelabel(entryे.l.address);
entry.l.defined = false;
destination = CGen->undefined;
Table->enter(entry);
}
.) .
LValue

```
```

= "ADR"

```
= "ADR"
    (. int value, offset; .)
    (. int value, offset; .)
    "ADR"
    "ADR"
    ( SignedNumber<value>
    ( SignedNumber<value>
    (. CGen->twoword("ADR", value); .)
    (. CGen->twoword("ADR", value); .)
        | Designator<offset>
        | Designator<offset>
    (. CGen->twoword("ADR", -offset); .)
    (. CGen->twoword("ADR", -offset); .)
    ).
SignedNumber<int &value>
(. int sign = 1 .)
    [ " "+"
(. sign = -1; .)
    ] Number<value> (.value = sign * value; .)
```

[^0]Designator<int \&offset>
$=$
(. TABLE alfa name;

TABLE_types exptype;
TABLE_entries entry; bool found;
int value; .)
Ident <name>
( " ["
CExpression<exptype, value>
(. if (!arithtypes .memb(exptype)) SemError(227); offset += value;
if (value < 0 || value >= entry.v.size)
SemError (223); .)
(. if (!found) Table->enter (entry); .)

END ASSEM.


[^0]:    /* This next one is very similar to the designator used in the CS301 compiler but needs to return the offset address of the variable or array element */

